	Case 1:20-cv-00693-NONE-EPG Docum	ent 14	Filed 09/28/20	Page 1 of 2
	Odde 1.20 CV GOODS NOINE ET G DOCUM	CIIC 14	1 IICU 03/20/20	rage 1 or 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	DARWYN LEWIS,	No.	1:20-cv-00693-N	NONE-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	V.	OR	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS  (Doc. Nos. 6 & 10)	FINDINGS AND
14	UNITED STAES OF AMERICA, et al.,			<u> </u>
15	Defendants.	(Do		
16		J		
17	Plaintiff, Darwyn Lewis ("plaintiff"), proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed the			
18	complaint initiating this action on May 18, 2020. (Doc No. 1.) Plaintiff then filed a motion for			
19	injunctive relief on June 23, 2020. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge			
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
21	On August 21, 2020, the assigned magistrate j entered findings and recommendations,			
22	recommending that plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief be denied without prejudice. (Doc No.			
23	10 at 3). In his motion, plaintiff requests that USP Atwater, the institution where he is presently			
24	incarcerated, take pictures of his skin and draw his blood to "show proof" that his skin is being			
25	discolored by contaminated water at USP Atwater. (Doc. No. 6.) The magistrate judge reasoned			
26	that because plaintiff's complaint had not yet been screened, no defendants had yet been served,			
27	and the relief sought was essentially directed at gathering evidence in support of his claims,			
28	plaintiff's request for injunctive relief must be denied. (Doc. No. 10 at 2-3.)			
		1		

## Case 1:20-cv-00693-NONE-EPG Document 14 Filed 09/28/20 Page 2 of 2 Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. Plaintiff filed objections on September 3, 2020. The objections do not materially address the magistrate judge's reasoning. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 21, 2020 (Doc. No. 10), are ADOPTED IN FULL; 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. No. 6) is DENIED, without prejudice; and 3. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 26, 2020